



Chemtrails are Not Contrails: Radiometric Evidence

J. Marvin Herndon^{1*}, Raymond D. Hoisington² and Mark Whiteside³

¹*Transdyne Corporation, 11044 Red Rock Drive, CA 92131, San Diego, USA.*

²*iRay SpectraMetrics, 3104 Ridgedale Street, CA 93306, Bakersfield, USA.*

³*Department of Health in Monroe County, 1100 Simonton Street, FL 33040, Key West, Florida, USA.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author JMH was primary responsible for geophysical considerations. Author RDH was primarily responsible for solar irradiance measurements. Author MW was primarily responsible for medical and public health and environmental considerations. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JGEESI/2020/v24i230199

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Onuigbo Evangeline Njideka, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria.

Reviewers:

(1) Irshad Ullah, Education Government of Khyber, Pakistan.

(2) Franco Cervellati, University of Ferrara, Italy.

(3) Nádia Hortense Torres Romanholo Ferreira, Tiradentes University, Brazil.

(4) Ionac Nicoleta, University of Bucharest, Romania.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/54141>

Original Research Article

Received 14 January 2020

Accepted 20 March 2020

Published 28 March 2020

ABSTRACT

Aims: Concerted efforts are made to deceive the public into falsely believing the jet-emplaced tropospheric aerosol trails, called chemtrails by some, are harmless ice-crystal contrails from aircraft engine exhaust-moisture. Our objective is to use radiometric measurements in the range 250-300 nm to show that a typical chemtrail is not a contrail, and to generalize that finding with additional data.

Methods: We utilized International Light Technologies ILT950UV Spectral Radiometer mounted on a Meade LXD55 auto guider telescope tripod and mount assembly.

Results: Radiometric solar irradiance spectra data that included the transit of a typical tropospheric aerosol trail between radiometer-sensor and the solar disc showed significant absorption during the transit period. The during-transit absorption is wholly inconsistent with the almost negligible adsorption by ice, but is wholly consistent with absorption by aerosolize particulates, including coal fly ash. This result is consistent with other aerosol-trail physical phenomena observations.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mherndon@san.rr.com;

Conclusions: The public and the scientific community have been systematically deceived into falsely believing that the pervasive, jet-sprayed ‘chemtrails’ are harmless ice-crystal contrails. We have presented radiometric measurements which unambiguously prove the falsity of that characterization for one specific, but typical instance. We show in a more general framework that the physical manifestations of the aerial trails are inconsistent with ice-crystal contrails, but entirely consistent with aerosol particulate trails. We describe potential reasons for the deception, and cite the extremely adverse consequences of the aerial particulate spraying on human and environmental health. For the sake of life on Earth, the modification of the natural environment by aerial particulate spraying and other methodologies must immediately and permanently end.

Keywords: Contrail; chemtrail; chemtrail conspiracy theory; disinformation; contrailscience.

1. INTRODUCTION

For three decades numerous individuals have witnessed jet-laid trails that extend across the sky, gradually spreading out to appear as cirrus-type clouds, before further diffusing to become a white haze [1,2], as shown in Fig. 1. These aerosol trails are sometimes referred to as sky-

striping or particulate trails, but more frequently they are called *chemtrails*. Concerted efforts are made to deceive the public into falsely believing that the jet-laid trails are harmless ice-crystal *contrails*, which form from high-moisture engine exhaust under very humid, very cold conditions, and which typically evaporate into invisible gas in a matter of seconds [3].



Fig. 1. Geoengineering particulate trails with photographers’ permission [4]. clockwise from upper left: Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee, USA (David Tulis), Reiat, Switzerland (Rogerio Camboim SA), Warrington, Cheshire, UK (Catherine Singleton), Alderney, UK looking toward France (Neil Howard), Luxembourg (Paul Berg), New York, New York, USA (Mementosis)

As previously noted [5], the 2005 U. S. Air Force Document AFD-0561013-001 deliberately misrepresented the aerial spraying and set forth the “contrail” basis for public deception. A section of that document entitled *The Chemtrail Hoax* states in part: “There is no such thing as a ‘Chemtrail’ Contrails are safe and are a natural phenomenon. They pose no health hazard of any kind” [6].

The disinformation intent of one website [7] is evident by its “meta description” which states: “Investigation of the science and history behind ‘chemtrails’, showing that they are really contrails.” Moreover, contrail definitions are often forcibly added by Google to YouTube videos discussing chemtrails or the aerial particulate spraying, see for example [8]. Contrail-disinformation even takes place in the scientific literature [9,10].

During the course of routine solar ultraviolet measurements, which automatically tracks Sol’s motion, a jet laid aerosol trail between the radiometer and the solar disc permitted measurements of the relative absorption of UV-B,C. As discussed below, the results are consistent with the aerosol trail consisting of particulate matter, not ice crystals; a chemtrail, not a contrail. The jet-sprayed particulate trails now routinely observed throughout much of the world are consistent with what is more properly called tropospheric aerosol geoengineering.

2. METHODOLOGY

The experimental method pertains to solar spectrometric irradiance measurements at Earth’s surface that employs International Light Technologies ILT950UV Spectral Radiometer with fractional-nanometer resolution in the short-wavelength portion of the ultraviolet (UV) spectrum. The radiometer is mated to a Meade LXD55 auto guider telescope tripod and mount assembly, which permits automatic tracking. The instrumentation specifications and the methodology used have been previously described in detail [11].

3. RESULTS

Fig. 2 consists of two iPhone photographs taken from behind the auto guider radiometer mount showing the radiometer sensor’s entry and exit through the aerosol particulate trail, aka chemtrail. The entry and exit times, accurately determined from the iPhone time-stamps are,

11:43:49 and 11:44:15, respectively, in the morning, local time, on December 31, 2019 at Bakersfield, California, USA. The weather conditions at the time were simultaneously measured with instruments on hand: sky mostly clear, temperature 63° F, 33% Relative Humidity, Barometer 29.63” Hg, no winds.

Fig. 3 shows the UV data, plotted through the range 250 to 300 nm. The only alteration made was to replace the generic graph axis with a time axis corresponding to the time sequence of the data. The red portion of that time axis, taken from the range of time-stamps of the iPhone photographs shown in Fig. 2, shows the time of radiometer-sensor transit through the aerosol chemtrail. Clearly, the UV intensity measured by the radiometer diminishes during the time of radiometer-sensor transit through the aerosol chemtrail.




Fig. 2. Photographs taken from behind the auto guider radiometer mount showing the radiometer sensor’s entry and exit through the aerosol chemtrail
 Photographs by author (RDH)




Fig. 3. UV data, plotted through the range 250 to 300 nm.

The red portion of that time axis, taken from the range of time-stamps of the iPhone photographs shown in Fig. 2, shows the time of radiometer-sensor transit through the aerosol chemtrail. The reduction of UV intensity through this aerosol-transit range is clearly evident. Measurements were terminated at 250 nm to avoid uncertainties that increase as the wavelength decreases below 250 nm.

4. DISCUSSION

The UV absorption evident in Fig. 3 during the time of radiometer-sensor transit through the aerosol trail is unambiguous radiometric evidence that the chemtrail is not an ice-crystal contrail because UV absorption by ice throughout the wavelength interval measured is negligible.

The absorption coefficient of ice, k_{ice} , at 300 nm is $\leq 0.1\text{m}^{-1}$ [12,13] and 0.665m^{-1} at 250 nm [13]. The nearly 100% reflectivity of snow is further evidence of the extremely low spectral absorbance of ice [14]. As noted by [12]: *“Between 300 and 600 nm the absorption by ice is so weak that for some geophysical purposes it may as well be set to zero, for example, when computing absorption of solar radiation by ice clouds, because path lengths of photons through atmospheric ice crystals are very small compared to the absorption length.”*

UV absorption by particulate matter, including coal fly ash, however, is wholly consistent with the data shown in Fig. 3 [15-18].

Fig. 4 is a photograph of aerial particulate trails (chemtrails) that includes both white trails and black trails. The white trails are white because a high proportion of incident light is scattered, only some is absorbed. The black trails are black because there is very little scattering; most of the incident light is absorbed. The black trails cannot

possibly be ice-crystal contrails because, as discussed above, ice has low absorption not only for UV, but for visible light as well [12,13].

Other physical manifestations of aerosol trails are likewise inconsistent with ice-crystal contrails. These include dispersal rather than evaporation, spontaneous start-stop-start particulate trail production, and trail origination sometimes not being associated with engine exhaust [5].

Why the pervasive disinformation about the aerial particulate trails? Probably because both the covert purpose(s) of the aerial spraying and the adverse human and environmental health consequences would be repugnant to the reasoning public.

Particulate matter in the troposphere becomes heated by solar radiation and radiation from Earth, transfers that heat to the atmosphere by molecular collisions, which reduces atmospheric convection, and concomitantly reduces heat loss from the surface, causing local and/or global warming [19-21] and combine with other techniques melts polar ice [22,23]. Aerial particulate spraying can be used covertly to deliberately cause weather chaos, including floods, droughts, and crop failures [24,25].

Aerial particulate spraying is deliberate air pollution. Aerial pollution particulates, the leading



Fig. 4. White and black particulate trails above Danby, Vermont, USA, an impossible combination for alleged ice-crystal 'contrails' [4]

environmental cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [26,27], have been found in the brains of persons with dementia [28] and in the hearts of persons from highly polluted areas [29]. Air pollution is a major contributor to stroke, heart, and neurodegenerative disease [28-31], lung cancer [32], COPD [33], respiratory infections [34], and asthma [35]. Particulate air pollution is a risk factor for cognitive decline [36-39], and for Alzheimer's Dementia later in life [36]. Particulate air pollution is a risk factor for children having cognitive defects [38,39] and for Autism Spectrum Disorder in children [40,41].

The pervasive aerial particulate spraying is harming virtually all life on Earth, specifically, disrupting once stable weather patterns and habitats [42], contaminating the environment with mercury [43], decimating insect [44], bat [45], and bird populations [46], killing forests [47], exacerbating wildfires [5], enabling harmful algae in our waters [48] and destroying the ozone layer that shields surface-life from the sun's deadly ultraviolet radiation [11].

5. CONCLUSIONS

The public and the scientific community have been systematically deceived into falsely believing that the pervasive, jet-sprayed 'chemtrails' are harmless ice-crystal contrails. We have presented radiometric measurements which unambiguously prove the falsity of that characterization for one specific, but typical instance. We show in a more general framework that the physical manifestations of the aerial trails are inconsistent with ice-crystal contrails, but

entirely consistent with aerosol particulate trails. We describe potential reasons for the deception, and cite the extremely adverse consequences of the aerial spraying on human and environmental health. For the sake of life on Earth, the modification of the natural environment by aerial particulate spraying and other methodologies must immediately and permanently end.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was a joint effort between the authors (part of an ongoing collaboration aimed at providing scientific, medical, public health implications and evidence related to the near-daily, near global covert geoengineering activities. We thank GeoengineeringWatch for generously providing the funding that made possible the spectrometer purchase.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Available:<http://www.nuclearplanet.com/websites.pdf>
(Accessed January 8, 2020)
2. Thomas W. Chemtrails confirmed. Carson City, Nevada (USA): Bridger House Publishers; 2004.
3. Schumann U. On conditions for contrail formation from aircraft exhausts. *Meteorologisch Zeitschrift*. 1996;5:4-23.
4. Herndon JM, Whiteside M. Geophysical consequences of tropospheric particulate heating: Further evidence that anthropogenic global warming is principally Caused by particulate pollution. *Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International*. 2019;22(4):1-23.
5. Herndon JM, Whiteside M. California wildfires: Role of undisclosed atmospheric manipulation and geoengineering. *J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn*. 2018;17(3):1-18.
6. Available:<http://www.nuclearplanet.com/USAF.pdf>
Accessed January 8, 2020.
7. Available:<http://contrailscience.com/>
(Accessed January 8, 2020)
8. Available:<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bPMFjFy8BQ>
(Accessed January 8, 2020)
9. Shearer C, West M, Caldeira K, Davis SJ. Quantifying expert consensus against the existence of a secret large-scale atmospheric spraying program. *Environ Res Lett*. 2016;11(8):084011.
10. Tingley D, Wagner G. Solar geoengineering and the chemtrails conspiracy on social media. *Palgrave Communications*. 2017;3(1):12.
11. Herndon JM, Hoisington RD, Whiteside M. Deadly ultraviolet UV-C and UV-B penetration to Earth's surface: Human and environmental health implications. *J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn*. 2018;14(2):1-11.
12. Warren SG, Brandt RE, Grenfell TC. Visible and near-ultraviolet absorption spectrum of ice from transmission of solar radiation into snow. *Applied optics*. 2006; 45(21):5320-34.
13. Perovich DK, Govoni JW. Absorption coefficients of ice from 250 to 400 nm. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 1991; 18(7):1233-5.
14. Grenfell TC, Warren SG, Mullen PC. Reflection of solar radiation by the Antarctic snow surface at ultraviolet, visible, and near-infrared wavelengths. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*. 1994;99(D9):18669-84.
15. Xie Y, Li Z, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Li D, Li K, et al. Estimation of atmospheric aerosol composition from ground-based remote sensing measurements of Sun-sky radiometer. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*. 2017;122(1):498-518.
16. Moteki N, Adachi K, Ohata S, Yoshida A, Harigaya T, Koike M, et al. Anthropogenic iron oxide aerosols enhance atmospheric heating. *Nature communications*. 2017; 8:15329.
17. D'alessio A, D'anna A, Gambi G, Minutolo P. The spectroscopic characterisation of UV absorbing nanoparticles in fuel rich soot forming flames. *Journal of Aerosol Science*. 1998;29(4):397-409.
18. Gillespie JB, Lindberg JD. Ultraviolet and visible imaginary refractive index of strongly absorbing atmospheric particulate matter. *Applied optics*. 1992;31(12):2112-5.
19. Herndon JM. Air pollution, not greenhouse gases: The principal cause of global warming. *J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn*. 2018;17(2):1-8.
20. Herndon JM. Role of atmospheric convection in global warming. *J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn*. 2019;19(4):1-8.
21. Herndon JM, Whiteside M. Further evidence that particulate pollution is the principal cause of global warming: Humanitarian considerations. *Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International*. 2019;21(1):1-11.
22. Herndon JM. An indication of intentional efforts to cause global warming and glacier melting. *J Geography Environ Earth Sci Int*. 2017;9(1):1-11.
23. Herndon JM. Evidence of variable Earth-heat production, global non-anthropogenic climate change, and geoengineered global warming and polar melting. *J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn*. 2017;10(1):16.
24. Herndon JM. Adverse agricultural consequences of weather modification. *AGRIVITA Journal of agricultural science*. 2016;38(3):213-21.

25. Herndon JM, Whiteside M, Baldwin I. Fifty Years after How to Wreck the Environment: Anthropogenic Extinction of Life on Earth. *J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn*. 2018;16(3):1-15.
26. Landrigan PJ, Fuller R, Acosta NJ, Adeyi O, Arnold R, Baldé AB, et al. The Lancet Commission on pollution and health. *The lancet*. 2018;391(10119):462-512.
27. Friedrich M. Air Pollution Is Greatest Environmental Threat to Health. *JAMA*. 2018;319(11):1085.
28. Maher BA, Ahmed IA, Karloukovski V, MacLaren DA, Foulds PG, Allsop D, et al. Magnetite pollution nanoparticles in the human brain. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*. 2016; 113(39):10797-801.
29. Calderón-Garcidueñas L, González-Maciél A, Mukherjee PS, Reynoso-Robles R, Pérez-Guillé B, Gayosso-Chávez C, et al. Combustion-and friction-derived magnetic air pollution nanoparticles in human hearts. *Environmental Research*. 2019; 108567.
30. Jeremy W. Air pollution and brain health: an emerging issue. *Lancet*. 2017;390: 1345-422.
31. Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Aerosolized coal fly ash: Risk factor for neurodegenerative disease. *Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research*. 2018;25(10):1-11.
32. Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Coal fly ash aerosol: Risk factor for lung cancer. *Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research*. 2018;25(4):1-10.
33. Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Aerosolized coal fly ash: Risk factor for COPD and respiratory disease. *Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research*. 2018;26(7):1-13.
34. MacIntyre EA, Gehring U, Mölter A, Fuertes E, Klümper C, Krämer U, et al. Air pollution and respiratory infections during early childhood: an analysis of 10 European birth cohorts within the ESCAPE Project. *Environmental health perspectives*. 2013;122(1):107-13.
35. Organization WH. Ambient air pollution: A global assessment of exposure and burden of disease; 2016.
36. Kilian J, Kitazawa M. The emerging risk of exposure to air pollution on cognitive decline and Alzheimer's disease—evidence from epidemiological and animal studies. *Biomedical Journal*; 2018.
37. Weuve J, Puett RC, Schwartz J, Yanosky JD, Laden F, Grodstein F. Exposure to particulate air pollution and cognitive decline in older women. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2012;172(3):219-27.
38. Calderón-Garcidueñas L, Azzarelli B, Acuna H, Garcia R, Gambling TM, Osnaya N, et al. Air pollution and brain damage. *Toxicologic Pathology*. 2002;30(3):373-89.
39. Calderon-Garciduenas L, Franko-Lira M, Mora-Tiscareno A, Medina-Cortina H, Torres-Jardon R, et al. Early Alzheimer'd and Parkinson's diese pathology in urban children: Friend verses foe response - it's time to face the evidence. *BioMed Research International*. 2013;32:650-8.
40. Becerra TA, Wilhelm M, Olsen J, Cockburn M, Ritz B. Ambient air pollution and autism in Los Angeles county, California. *Environmental health perspectives*. 2012; 121(3):380-6.
41. Talbott EO, Arena VC, Rager JR, Clougherty JE, Michanowicz DR, Sharma RK, et al. Fine particulate matter and the risk of autism spectrum disorder. *Environmental Research*. 2015;140:414-20.
42. Herndon JM, Whiteside M. Further evidence of coal fly ash utilization in tropospheric geoengineering: Implications on human and environmental health. *J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn*. 2017;9(1):1-8.
43. Herndon JM, Whiteside M. Contamination of the biosphere with mercury: Another potential consequence of on-going climate manipulation using aerosolized coal fly ash *J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn*. 2017; 13(1):1-11.
44. Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Previously unacknowledged potential factors in catastrophic bee and insect die-off arising from coal fly ash geoengineering *Asian J Biol*. 2018;6(4):1-13.
45. Herndon JM, Whiteside M. Un acknowledged potential factors in catastrophic bat die-off arising from coal fly ash geoengineering. *Asian Journal of Biology*. 2019;8(4):1-13.
46. Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Aerosolized coal fly ash: A previously unrecognized

- primary factor in the catastrophic global demise of bird populations and species. Asian J Biol. 2018;6(4):1-13.
47. Herndon JM, Williams DD, Whiteside M. Previously unrecognized primary factors in the demise of endangered torrey pines: A microcosm of global forest die-offs. J Geog Environ Earth Sci Intn 2018;16(4):1-14.
48. Whiteside M, Herndon JM. Role of Aerosolized Coal Fly Ash in the Global Plankton Imbalance: Case of Florida's Toxic Algae Crisis. Asian Journal of Biology. 2019;8(2):1-24.

© 2020 Herndon et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/54141>